IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

RANDALL LEE CONRAD,)	
Pe	etitioner,)	
v.		•	1:07CV313 1:04CR297-1
UNITED STATES OF AMERI	CA,))	1.010112071
Re	espondent.)	

<u>ORDER</u>

On February 28, 2014, the United States Magistrate Judge's

Recommendation was filed and notice was served on the parties pursuant to 28

U.S.C. § 636. Petitioner filed objections [Doc. #133] to the Recommendation

within the time limit prescribed by Section 636. The Court has reviewed

Petitioner's objections *de novo* and finds they do not change the substance of the

United States Magistrate Judge's Recommendation [Doc. #131] which is affirmed and adopted. To the extent Petitioner subsequently filed a Motion [Doc. #134] to obtain documents, that request will be denied in light of the Court's determination here.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion under Rule 60(d)(3)

[Doc. #105] and Motion for Clarification [Doc. #126] are DENIED, that Petitioner's

Motion to Produce Complete Documents [Doc. #134] is DENIED, and that finding

no substantial issue for appeal concerning the denial of a constitutional right

affecting the conviction, nor a debatable procedural ruling, a certificate of appealability is DENIED.

This the 25th day of March, 2016.

/s/ N. Carlton Tilley, Jr.
Senior United States District Judge